Approved For ease 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP92-00455

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Communications

Director of Data Processing

Director of Finance Director of Logistics

Director of Medical Services

Director of Personnel V Director of Security Director of Training

FROM : Michael J. Malanick

Associate Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT : Uniform Promotion System

REFERENCE : Multiple Addressee Memo from A/DDA, dated

13 October 1978, same subject (DD/A 78-1378/25)

- 1. A number of questions have been asked about the instruction in reference memorandum which stated that employees ranked in the lowest ten percent of a competitive group should be made aware of their relative low standing.
- 2. This was suggested as a mechanism for alerting officers who, because of poor performance or other identifiable problem, were not meeting minimum work standards. It was not envisioned as being a requirement in cases where such standing was based on a forced numerical low ranking despite proficient performance.
- 3. The responsibility for making such distinctions will remain with the Heads of the DDA Sub-groups. STATINTL

	•
`.	
Distribution:	Michael // J. Malanick
Orig - D/OC	•
1 - Each Add Addse	
1 - DDA subj	
1 - DDA chrono	
ATINTL - MJM chrono	
1 - DDA/CMO chrono	
1 <u>- DDA/CMO</u> subj file	
DDA/CMO: j1s(31 Oct 78)	

13 October 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Communications

Director of Data Processing

Director of Finance Director of Logistics

Director of Medical Services

Director of Personnel Director of Security Director of Training

FROM Michael J. Malanick

Associate Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT : Uniform Promotion System

As the Directorate commences its implementation of the Uniform Promotion System, there is need to address two aspects of the System and the approach that must be taken to insure DDA-wide uniformity.

## Notification of Low Ranking

Although most of the DDA Sub-groups rank their careerists on a numerical basis within each competitive group, the option to simply assign an evaluative descriptor (HP, MD, etc.) and list officers alphabetically within that category is available if that system is preferred. However, if that option is chosen, sub-groups must numerically identify the lowest ten percent of officers in each competitive group. This is not meant to alter the requirements concernSTATINTL certain actions affecting officers ranked in the lowest three percent.

Those employees who rank in the lowest ten percent of each competitive group, should be made aware of their relative low standing. Sub-groups should insure that counselling, including suggestions for remedial action, be afforded those individuals who are so identified.

## B. Ranking and Promotion of GS-15 Officers

In paragraph four of the multiple addressee memorandum from the DDA/CMO on the Uniform Promotion System dated 11 August 1978, mention was made of providing additional information on the SPRB's evaluation of GS-15 officers.

Sub-groups will continue to be responsible for evaluating their own GS-15 officers for Value to the Service. In order to avoid any question of a body other than the SPRB recommending officers for promotion to GS-16, a new DDA evaluative procedure is being introduced. Henceforth, sub-groups will no longer numerically rank GS-15 officers (except as required for the lowest 10 percent) but will simply assign each an evaluative descriptor. Sub-groups are urged to support these evaluations by including in the record a comment which addresses each officer's strengths, weaknesses, suggestions for assignments and training and other appropriate issues. While this need not be done during each evaluation, it seems prudent to do so annually. These remarks would serve as the substantive base for career development counselling.

The SPRB will convene semiannually (October or November and April or May) for the purpose of making recommendations for promotion of GS-15 DDA officers to the supergrade levestATINTL

<b></b>	W 7737 3 4 5	
Michael	I // Malanick	
1.1.7.6.116.0.7	J//Malanick	
	•	

Distribution:

TATINTL

Orig - D/OC

1 - Each Add Adse

1 - DDA Subj

1 - DDA Chrono

1 - MJM Chrono

1 - DDA/CMO Chrono

DDA/CMO; jls (12 Oct 78)

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM									
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDEN			SECRET			
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP									
то	NAME AN	D ADDF	RESS	D	ATE	INLTIALS			
1	DD/Pers				~	5			
2	D/Pers		2	NOA	1979	J			
3	СМО								
4									
5									
6									
	ACTION	D	RECT REPLY		PREPARE	REPLY			
	APPROVAL	D	ISPATCH		RECOMM	ENDATION			
	COMMENT	·F	ILE	RETURN					
	CONCURRENCE	11	NFORMATION		SIGNATU	RE			
Remarks:  ADDA says that GS-15 employees ranked in lower 10% do not have to be alerted unless they have problem pr because of poor performance.  K									
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER  FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE									
	FROM; NAME,	ADDRES	S AND PHONE N	<b></b> .		NOV 1978			
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDEN	TIAL		SECRET			